Diterpenes from Erythroxylum barbatum

Cláudio C. dos Santos, Mary Anne S. Lima, *,a Raimundo Braz Filho and Edilberto R. Silveira

^aCurso de Pós-Graduação em Química Orgânica, Departamento de Química Orgânica e Inorgânica, Universidade Federal do Ceará, CP 12.200, 60455-760 Fortaleza-CE, Brazil

^bSetor de Química de Produtos Naturais, Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense, 28013-600 Campos-RJ, Brazil

Das raízes de *Erythroxylum barbatum* foram isolados dois novos diterpenos *ent*- rosan-1-ona-5 α ,15 ξ ,16-triol (1) e *ent*-11 α -acetoxi-devadarano-15 ξ ,16-diol (2), além do *ent*-devadarano-15 ξ ,16-diol (erythroxidiol X, 3) e do procesterol. Investigação do lenho do caule forneceu o *ent*-devadarano-11 α ,15 ξ ,16-triol (erythroxitriol Q, 4), o *ent*-devadarano-7 β ,15 ξ ,16-triol (hidroxidevadarool, 5), o β -sitosterol e seu glicosídeo. A caracterização estrutural, inclusive a estereoquímica relativa dos compostos, foi estabelecida com base em métodos espectroscópicos, particularmente RMN uni e bidimensional, e comparação com dados da literatura.

Two new diterpenes *ent*-rosan-1-one-5 α ,15 ξ ,16-triol (1) and *ent*-11 α -acetoxy-devadarane-15 ξ ,16-diol (2) were isolated from roots of *Erythroxylum barbatum*, in addition to the known diterpenes *ent*-devadarane-15 ξ ,16-diol (erythroxydiol X, 3) and procesterol. Investigation of the trunk heartwood furnished the *ent*-devadarane-11 α ,15 ξ ,16-triol (erythroxytriol Q, 4), *ent*-devadarane-7 β ,15 ξ ,16-triol (hydroxydevadarool, 5), β -sitosterol and its glucoside. Structural characterization, including the relative stereochemistry of all compounds, was established on the basis of spectroscopic methods, particularly 1D and 2D NMR, and comparison with data from literature.

Keywords: *Erythroxylum barbatum*, diterpenes, *ent*-rosan-1-one-5α,15ξ,16-triol, *ent*-11α-acetoxy-devadarane-15ξ,16-diol

Introduction

The genus *Erythroxylum* comprises 250 species, which are widely distributed throughout the tropics, with large areas of diversity in South America, Africa and Madagascar. This genus is best known as the source of the tropane alkaloids and flavonoids as chemotaxonomic markers, ¹⁻⁴ but also as a prolific source of assorted diterpenes. ⁵⁻⁷ However, with exception of the cocaine-producing species *E. coca* and *E. novogranatense*, ⁸ few attention has been focused on the phytochemical analysis of this genus and the chemical constituents of many species used in traditional medicine still remain unknown.

Erythroxylum barbatum O. E. Schulz, a small tree endemic to the Northeast of Brazil, is the only species placed by Schulz in the *Pogonophorum* section of the genus. Our previous phytochemical investigation on this species revealed the presence of diterpene, prenylated chalcones, pterocarpans, coumarin and steroid, and a

more recent report led to the isolation of two kaurene diterpenoids with a unusual skeleton.¹¹ This work reports the isolation, from the roots, of the new diterpenes *ent*-rosan-1-one-5α,15ξ,16-triol (1) and *ent*-11-α-acetoxy-devadarane-15ξ,16-diol (2), in addition to the known *ent*-devadarane-15ξ,16-diol (3) (erythroxydiol X) ¹⁰ and procesterol. Investigation of the trunk heartwood yielded the *ent*-devadarane-11α,15ξ,16-triol (4) (erythroxytriol Q), ¹² *ent*-devadarane-7β,15ξ,16-triol (5) (hydroxydevadarool), ¹³ β-sitosterol and its glucoside.

Results and Discussion

Compound 1 was isolated as a colorless resin. The IR spectrum showed characteristic absorption of hydroxy group at 3421 cm⁻¹ and keto group at 1708 cm⁻¹.

The 1 H NMR spectrum displayed signals for four angular methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.18 (6H) and 1.00 and 0.58]. In addition, were observed three carbinolic hydrogens at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.34 (dd, J 9.4, 2.9 Hz, H-15); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.76 (dd, J 10.7, 2.9 Hz, H-16a) and 3.54 (dd, J 10.7, 9.4 Hz, H-16b) in a typical

ABC pattern suggesting the presence of a 1,2-glycol system. These observations were further confirmed by the $^1\text{H-}^1\text{H}$ COSY experiment through the cross-peaks for the geminal coupling of the methylene hydrogens at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.76 and 3.54 (2H-16), and for both of them with the hydrogen at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.34 (H-15). Further, a spin subsystem constituted by two adjacent methylene hydrogens at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.39 (m, H-2) and 1.48 (m, H-3), which were placed between a quaternary carbon and the keto group was characterized.

The 13 C NMR spectrum exhibited resonances for four methyl groups, eight methylenes, three methines, and five non-hydrogenated carbons, one of which was associated to a carbonyl group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 212.6).

Pimarane, isopimarane and rosane skeletons have four angular methyl groups and can be differentiated by the relative stereochemistry at C-3 and the position of the methyl at C-20, respectively. Rosanes arise from migration of the C-10 methyl group of pimaranes to the C-9 and occur in both enantiomeric series. The placement of a methyl group at C-9 in a rosane skeleton for compound 1 was readily established by detailed examination of the HMBC spectrum, through correlations of the hydrogen at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.05 (H-10) with the methyl group at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 11.9 (C-20) and the carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 24.2 (C-6). Furthermore, were observed correlations for the methylene hydrogens at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.48 (H-3) with the carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 29.5 (C-19) and 29.3 (C-18), and of the methylene group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.40 and 1.09 (H-6) with the carbons at δ_c 62.8 (C-10) and 40.2 (C-8), respectively. In the same way, correlations of the signal relative to methyl groups at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.18 (H-18, H-19) and of the hydrogen at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.70 (H-7) with the carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 71.2 (C-5), indicated that the location of the tertiary alcohol at C-5 could be assumed. The position of the carbonyl group at C-1 was deduced by the correlation of its ¹³C NMR chemical shift at δ_c 212.6 with the hydrogens at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.39 (H-2) and 1.48 (H-3). The absolute configuration of 1 was not ascertained particularly because its levorotatory property, however, it is reasonable to assume that it possess an absolute stereochemistry belonging to the enantio-series, considering the entrosane skeleton of diterpenoids isolated previously from Erythroxylum species^{5,7} that led to the "Absolute Stereochemistry Biogenetic Rule" suggested by Sukh Dev. 14 Thus, the structure of 1 could be identified as the new *ent*-rosan-1-one- 5α , 15ξ , 16-triol.

Compound **2**, a white amorphous solid, showed a molecular ion at m/z 364, compatible with a molecular formula $C_{22}H_{36}O_4$. The IR spectrum revealed absorption of hydroxyl group at 3456 cm⁻¹, carbonyl ester at 1724 cm⁻¹ and C-O stretching at 1249 cm⁻¹.

The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of three methyls groups attached to quaternary carbons at $\delta_{_{\rm H}}$ 1.03 (s, 6H, H-17 and H-18) and 0.94 (s, H-20), associated with the presence of the shielded doublets at $\delta_{\rm u}$ 0.14 (d, J 4.4 Hz, H-19a) and 0.53 (d, J 4.4 Hz, H-19b) characteristic of a tetrasubstituted cyclopropane ring, suggesting a devadarane skeleton for 2. Like compound 1, one ABC system relative to an 1,2-glycol moiety was observed at δ_{II} 3.32 (dd, 1H, J 9.3, 2.7 Hz, H-15), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J 10.8, 9.3 Hz, H-16a) and 3.74 (dd, 1H, J 10.8, 2.7 Hz, H-16b). In addition, a deshielded signal assignable to an acetylated oxymethine hydrogen at $\delta_{_{\rm H}}$ 4.82 (dd, J 11.1, 5.2 Hz, H-11), was confirmed by the presence of a methyl group at δ_{μ} 1.99 (s, H-21). All the above observations were consistent with the cross-peak correlations observed on the ¹H, ¹H-COSY experiment.

Comparative analysis of BB and DEPT-13C NMR (Table 1) spectra revealed 22 signals. From these data one can easily deduce the presence of three oxygen bearing saturated carbons at δ_c 62.5 (CH₂), 78.2 (CH) and 80.6 (CH), and 18 other sp³ not functionalized carbon signals (4 methyls, 8 methylenes, 2 methines and 4 quaternaries), in addition of a characteristic carbonyl of an ester function at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 162.5. Carbonyl carbons of acetate derivatives of alcohols usually appear around 170 ppm, thus the relative shielding of the acetate carbonyl ($\Delta \delta \sim 8.0$ ppm) may indicates stereochemical implications related to positioning either as α -equatorial or β -axial as observed for other devadarane diterpenoids.12 The unambiguous assignment of all carbon and hydrogens was possible for the HMQC spectrum analysis (Table 1).

Besides the other NMR data already discussed, further evidences of a devadarane skeleton merged from the observed long-range correlations in the HMBC spectrum. In this experiment were observed correlation signals between hydrogens of the cyclopropane moiety at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.14 and 0.53 (2H-19) with carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 22.6 (C-18), 33.9 (C-3) and 50.3 (C-10). Like compound 1, correlations of the oxymethine hydrogen at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.32 (H-15) with the carbons at δ_c 19.7 (C-17), 35.2 (C-14) and 36.6 (C-12) confirmed the position of the ethyl 1,2-glycol system at C-13 and provided additional proof for the suggested structure. The acetyl group at C-11 was confirmed due to the correlation of the oxymethine hydrogen at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.82 (H-11) with the carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 7.7 (C-20) and 50.3 (C-10) and 162.5 (C-22). The shielding effect observed for the ¹³C NMR signal of the C-20 methyl group at δ_c 7.7, when compared with related devadarane diterpenoids ($\sim \delta_{\rm C}$ 12.0), 10 is attributed to a γ-gauche shielding effect due the presence of the

Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data for compounds 1, 2, 4 and 5 (CDCl₂)

Carbon	¹H NMR		¹³ C NMR			
	1^{a}	2 ^a	1^{a}	2^{a}	4 ^b	5 ^b
1		1.29	212.6	22.8	25.0	23.2
2	2.39	1.31	42.6	28.1	29.6	19.6
		1.58				
3	1.48	1.28	44.2	33.9	35.4	32.2
4			44.2	17.8	18.8	16.7
5			71.2	25.8	27.7	25.2
6	1.40	0.86	24.2	32.5	33.3	43.4
	1.09	1.60				
7	1.70	0.92	22.6	23.7	24.5	69.6
	1.20	1.59				
8	1.86	1.45	40.2	40.9	42.5	48.5
9			42.0	43.1	45.6	38.7
10	2.05	1.49	62.8	50.3	52.5	49.8
11	1.67	4.82 (dd, <i>J</i> 11.1, 5.2 Hz)	33.9	78.2	76.8	34.0
	1.42					
12	1.40	1.59	28.5	36.6	41.1	31.2
	1.08					
13			36.8	37.4	38.8	36.5
14	1.40	1.59	36.3	35.2	38.1	28.8
	1.04					
15	3.34 (dd, J 9.4, 2.9 Hz)	3.32 (dd, J 9.3, 2.7 Hz)	80.9	80.6	82.4	81.9
16	3.76 (dd, J 10.7, 2.9 Hz)	3.51 (dd, J 10.8. 9.3 Hz)	62.7	62.5	63.6	62.6
	3.54 (dd, J 10.7, 9.4 Hz)	3.74 (dd, J 10.8, 2.7 Hz)				
17	1.00 (s)	1.03 (s)	18.9	19.7	20.3	18.1
18	1.18 (s)	1.03 (s)	29.3	22.6	23.3	21.7
19	1.18 (s)	0.14 (d, <i>J</i> 4.4 Hz)	29.5	25.2	26.0	24.5
		0.53 (d, J 4.4 Hz)				
20	0.58 (s)	0.94 (s)	11.9	7.7	7.1	12.2
21		1.99 (s)		22.0		
22				162.5		

^a300/75 MHz; ^b500/125 MHz.

equatorial oxy group at C-11. On the basis of biogenetic arguments, along with the knowledge of occurrence of *ent*-devadarane diterpenoids only in *Erythroxylum* spp., we can suggest that compound 2 also belongs to the *enantio* series. From the foregoing evidence, compound 2 was identified as the new natural α -acetoxy-devadarane-15 ξ ,16-diol, although it was cited previously in the literature as the chemical derivative of compound 4,12 this paper comprises the first report of 2 as a natural product.

The NOESY experiment was an important tool to reach the final relative stereochemistry of compound 5. In particular, the orientation of the H-7 was determined to be axial, as revealed by the diagnostic NOE crosspeak for the hydrogen H-7 at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.42 with the CH₃-20 at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.81. This was in agreement with the relative stereochemistry already reported for hydroxydevadarool, isolated previously from *Erythroxylum monogynum*. 13

Up to now the occurrence of devadarane diterpenoids in higher plants is restricted to species of the *Erythroxylum* genus. Their presence is remarkable and the potential as chemical markers was already discussed. ¹³ Physical and spectroscopic data comparison of compounds **3**, **4**, and **5** with those from literature made possible their identification as *ent*-devadaran- 15ξ , 16-diol (erythroxydiol X), ¹⁰ *ent*-devadaran- 11α , 15ξ , 16-triol (erythroxytriol Q)¹² and *ent*-devadaran- 7β , 15ξ , 16-triol (hydroxydevadarool), ¹³ respectively. To the best of our acknowledge this is the first report of compounds **4** and **5** ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data.

None of the isolated diterpenes (1-5) showed any cytotoxicity activity against five tumor cell lines: two human leukemias (HL-60 and CEM), human breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), human colon adenocarcinoma (HCT-8) and murine melanoma (B16).

Experimental

General procedures

Melting points were obtained on a Mettler FP82HT apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded

using a Perkin Elmer 1000 spectrophotometer. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer 341 polarimeter. Mass spectra were obtained on a Hewlett-Packard 5971 mass spectrometer by electron impact ionization (70 eV).

¹H and ¹³C NMR where recorded on a Bruker Avance DRX-500 or DPX-300 instruments. All compounds were visualized on TLC using the vanillin-sulfuric acid reagent.

Plant material

Roots and trunk heartwood of *Erythroxylum barbatum* O. E. Schulz were collected in August 2002, in Acarape County, Ceará State, Northeast of Brazil. The plant material was identified by Dr. Edson Paula Nunes of the Herbário Prisco Bezerra (EAC), Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Brazil, where the voucher specimen (No. 28815) was deposited.

Extraction and isolation

Roots (1.7 kg) and trunk heartwood (3.3 kg) of *E. barbatum* were separately pulverized and extracted with hexane at room temperature. Both plant residues from the hexane extraction were extracted with ethanol. All the solvents were removed under reduced pressure to give the correspondent extracts.

The EtOH extract (30.0 g) from roots was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH:H,O 1:1 and submitted to partition successively with hexane, CHCl₂, EtOAc and MeOH. The CHCl, fraction (7.0 g) was submitted to silica gel flash chromatography using CHCl₃ and EtOAc as binary mixtures with increasing polarity, to give several fractions which were combined according to TLC analysis. Fraction 23 (1.2 g) was further purified over Sephadex LH-20 by elution with MeOH to afford compound 1 (13.0 mg). Coarse chromatography on a silica gel column of the hexane extract (3.2 g) by elution with hexane, CH2Cl2, EtOAc and MeOH yielded the correspondent fractions. The EtOAc fraction (0.8 g) was further purified over Sephadex LH-20 by elution with MeOH to furnished 15 fractions. Successive flash chromatography of fraction 5-11 furnished the pure compounds 2 (16.2 mg), 3 (4.0 mg) and procesterol (9.7 mg).

The EtOH extract of the trunk heartwood (75.9 g) was coarsely fractionated on a silica gel column by elution with hexane, CHCl₃ and EtOAc. Isocratic silica gel flash chromatography of the EtOAc fraction (5.3 g) using CHCl₃:EtOAc (1:1) as eluent afforded compounds **4** (14.9 mg), **5** (11.6 mg), β -sitosterol (10.5 mg) and β -sitosterol glucoside (49.8 mg).

Ent-Rosan-1-one- 5α , 15ξ , 16-triol (1)

Colorless resin. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$: -15° (CHCl₃; c 0.16). IR (film) v_{max} /cm⁻¹: 3421, 2933, 2867, 1708, 1463, 1340, 1257, 1026, 756. EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 338 [M‡](absent), 320 (3), 304 (20), 243 (23), 199 (20), 187 (23), 149 (25), 135 (51), 121 (58), 109 (62), 95 (100), 81 (75), 57 (72), 55 (98). 1 H and 13 C NMR data: see Table 1.

Ent-11 α -Acetoxy-devadarane-15 ξ , 16-diol (2)

White amorphous solid. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$: -16.0° (CHCl₃; c 0.26). IR (film) v_{max} /cm⁻¹: 3456, 2929, 2862, 1724, 1657, 1459, 1377, 1249, 1377, 1249, 1174, 1024, 975. EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 364 [M⁺⁺] (2), 322 (2), 304 (10), 261 (7), 243 (34), 187 (17), 173 (15), 161 (18), 149 (55), 133 (32), 119 (49), 107 (48), 95 (71), 81 (69), 57 (79), 55 (100). 1 H and 13 C NMR: see Table 1.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to CNPq, CAPES, PADCT, PRONEX, FUNCAP, FINEP for the fellowships and financial support.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary data for compounds 1 and 2 are available free of charge at http://jbcs.org.br, as PDF file.

References

- 1. Griffin, W. J.; Lin, G. D.; Phytochemistry 2000, 53, 623.
- Bohm, A. F.; Loo, T.; Nicholls, K. W.; Plowman, T.; *Phytochemistry* 1988, 27, 833.
- 3. Jonhson E.; Schmidt, W. F.; Norma, H. A.; *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **1998**, 26, 743.
- 4. Inigo, R. P. A.; Pomilio, A. B.; Phytochemistry 1985, 24, 347.
- Kapadi, A. H.; Soman, R.; Sobti, R. R.; Dev, S.; *Indian J. Chem.*, Sect B 1983, 22, 964.

- 6. Soman, R.; Dev, S.; Indian J. Chem., Sect B 1983, 22, 978.
- 7. Ansell, S. M.; Pegel, K. H.; Taylor, D. A. H.; *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 937.
- 8. Plowman, T.; Adv. Econ. Bot. 1984, 1, 62.
- 9. Schulz, O. E.; A. Pflanzer 1907, 134 (Heft29), 101
- 10. Santos, C. C.; Lima, M. A. S.; Silveira, E. R.; *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2003**, *31*, 661.
- 11. Santos, C. C.; Lima, M. A. S.; Braz-Filho, R.; Simone, C. A.; Silveira, E. R.; *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2005**, *43*, 1012.
- Connolly, J. D.; Gunn, D. M.; McCrindle, R.; Murray, R. D. H.; Overton, K. H.; *J. Chem. Soc.* (C) **1967**, 668.
- 13. Soman, R.; Dev, S.; Misra, R.; Pandey, R. C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1964**, *49*, 3767.
- 14. Dev, S.; Pure Appl. Chem. 1979, 51, 837.

Received: December 28, 2005 Published on the web: August 30, 2006