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This work describes a novel synthetic route for obtaining 2-amino-naphthoxazoles derived from β-lapachone, which consists of a condensation reaction between β-lapachone and thiourea in a basic medium. This synthetic approach can be seen as a potential route for obtaining 2-amino-oxazoles from quinones. The obtained amino-naphthoxazoles were characterized by spectroscopic techniques and the crystal structure of one of them was resolved by X-ray diffraction. The experimental results were compared to that obtained through density functional theory (DFT) calculations, revealing high correlation coefficients.
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Introduction

The oxazolic and the imidazolic derivatives of β-lapachone (1) have been studied for decades due to their potential to combat the etiological agents Trypanosoma cruzi,1,4 which causes Chagas disease, and Mycobacterium tuberculosis,5,6 which causes tuberculosis. In recent research by our group,7 we pointed out that the inductive effects of the substituents bonded to the imidazolic nucleus contributes to the antitypanosomal activity of these compounds. Due to the similarities between imidazoles and oxazoles, it is reasonable to believe that the same feature could improve the oxazole activity against T. cruzi. However, in the methods found in the literature,8 the oxazoles derived from β-lapachone are obtained in most cases as byproducts of imidazole syntheses, which involve the condensation of β-lapachone with ammonia and an aldehyde. Since these methods are almost always limited to aromatic aldehydes, where the group bonded to carbonyl becomes the substituent at position 2 of the heterocycle, the variety of the substituents at this position is restricted (Scheme 1, i).8,9 One of the few exceptions reported is in the condensation with paraformaldehyde, in which the product has a hydrogen at position 2 (Scheme 1, ii).10

Scheme 1. Syntheses of naphthoimidazoles and naphthoxazoles derived from β-lapachone (imidazoles: X = NH, Y = N; oxazoles: X = O, Y = N); method (i) (adapted from reference 8) and method (ii) (adapted from reference 10).
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The amino group (–NH₂) is one of the substituents capable of increasing the electronic density of aromatic rings, as it is an electron donor by resonance, as can be seen in the comparison between nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of arylamines and aromatic compounds with other substituents. Furthermore, this group is a potential precursor of others, like amides and nitro.

In this work we describe the synthesis of a pair of 2-amino-naphthoxazoles isomers (2 and 3) derived from β-lapachone using a novel synthetic method developed by us (Scheme 2), and their characterization through vibrational spectroscopy (infrared and Raman), high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), ¹H and ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (uni and bidimensional), UV-Visible absorption and emission spectra and single crystal X-ray diffraction, as well as a comparison between the experimental results and theoretical calculations.

**Experimental**

**General**

Reagents (K₂CO₃ and thiourea) and solvents hexane, methanol, ethyl acetate and dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) were used without any pretreatment. Melting points were obtained using a Reichert micro hot stage. Column chromatography was performed using silica 220-440 mesh particle size, 60 Å (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

The HRMS were obtained using a Bruker MicroTOF II electrospray ionization instrument. The main fragments were described as a relation between the atomic mass units and the charge (m/z) and the relative abundance in percentage of the base peak intensity. NMR spectra were obtained in a solution of deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO-d₆) in a Bruker Advance III (500 MHz) or Bruker Advance II (400 MHz) spectrometer, using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. The Fourier transform infrared with attenuated total reflectance (FTIR-ATR) and the Fourier transform Raman (FT-Raman) spectra of solid samples were obtained, respectively, by Vertex 70 and in MultiRam spectrometers (both from Bruker), with resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 32 scans. UV-Visible absorption and emission spectra were recorded with a Jasco J-815 spectrophotometer equipped with a Jasco PFD-425S15F thermostat system with 0.1 °C accuracy. The UV-Vis absorption was measured in a 1.0 cm quartz cell in the range of 200-700 nm using a 3 mL solution of compound 2 in methanol (1.948 × 10⁻⁵ M) at 293 K. The molar absorption coefficients (ε) were measured by applying the Beer-Lambert law (ε = A/λc, where A represents absorbance, λ is the wavelength and c is the speed of light) to the maximum of each band and the values for band gap energy (E) were calculated through the Planck-Einstein equation (E = hc/λ), where h and c are constants and λ is the cutoff wavelength.

**Single-crystal X-ray diffraction**

X-ray diffraction data were collected with a Bruker D8-Venture diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at room temperature (298 K). Data collection and cell refinement were performed with a Bruker Instrument Service and APEX3, respectively.

Data reduction was carried out using SAINT. Data reduction using equivalent reflections was performed with the SADABS program. The structure solution and full-matrix least-squares refinement based on F² were performed with the SHELXS and SHELXL programs, respectively, implemented in OLEX2. All atoms except hydrogen were refined anisotropically. The structures were drawn by the Mercury program.

**Computational details**

All calculations were performed using the SPARTAN’14 software. For the reaction mechanism study, the semi-empirical method PM6 basis set was used and follow-up calculations were performed just considering the main product, compound 2. The acquisition of the theoretical vibrational spectra of compound 2 was performed in the gas phase using the density functional theory (DFT) with the Becke-3–Lee Yang Parr (B3LYP) method and standard
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6-31G* basis set. The same method and the same set of bases were used to obtain the NMR spectra and the geometric parameters of the molecular structure (bond lengths, torsion and dihedral angles), but the equilibrium geometry was previously performed in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), which was the same solvent used in those experimental sections. After the structure optimization in DMSO, the NMR spectra and the geometric parameters were acquired in the gas phase.

β-Lapachone synthesis

β-Lapachone was obtained by lapachol isomerization with sulfuric acid and purification by recrystallization.

Synthesis of 6,6-dimethyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-benzo[7,8]chromeno[6,5-d][1,3]oxazol-2-amine and 6,6-dimethyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-benzo[7,8]chromeno[5,6-d][1,3]oxazol-2-amine

In three 10 mL reaction vials, the following amounts were added in each one: 80.7 mg of β-lapachone, 76 mg of thiourea and 4.5 mL of methanol. The mixtures were stirred at room temperature until solubilization. Then, in each vial, 23 mg of K₂CO₃ were added. The vials were sealed and heated to 65 °C for 48 h on a heating plate. The total amount of each of the substances used in the reaction were 242.1 mg (1 mmol) of β-lapachone, 228 mg (3 mmol) of thiourea, 69 mg of K₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol) and 14.5 mL of methanol. After the reaction time, the three mixtures were joined, the solvent was removed by evaporation and the solid mixture was submitted to partition with ethyl acetate and water, to remove the potassium carbonate and the excess of thiourea. The products retained in the organic phase were separated by column chromatography using a 1:1 mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate.

Crystallization of compound 2

A solution containing 16 mg of compound 2 in 1.5 mL of DMSO-d₆ (used for the NMR experiment) was left exposed to atmospheric air for 30 days, affording single crystals. These crystals were analyzed by FTIR-ATR, Raman and X-ray diffraction.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

The synthesis reaction provided 237 mg of compound 2, as a moss green solid (mp 205-208 °C), and 16 mg of compound 3 (oil). These products were characterized by HRMS, 1H NMR and 13C NMR. The overall reaction and the yields obtained from the syntheses of naphthoxazoles 2 and 3 are shown in Scheme 3.

Other methods for oxazole synthesis from β-lapachone also had greater selectivity for production of the compound with the nitrogen of the heterocycle at position 6 of the chromene system. Assuming that one of the oxazole formation steps is a nucleophilic attack from one of the thiourea nitrogens to one of the β-lapachone carbonyls, the higher yield of isomer 2 indicates that this attack occurs preferably at C(6). This regioselectivity of the nucleophilic attack also occurs in the synthesis of other 4-alcoxy-naphthoquinone derivatives, in addition to β-lapachone, as in the synthesis of α-diazocarbonyl compounds, oximes and arylimines.

The enthalpies of formation (ΔH form) of the final products and of the intermediates that are formed at the beginning were determined using the semi empirical method PM6. Despite the limitations of this semi-empirical method, these calculations corroborated the tendency to preferentially form compound 2 due to the lower energy values of its precursors compared to the precursors of compound 3 (see Supplementary Information (SI) section).

In the various tests carried out to improve the reaction yield, no products were formed in the absence of base (or with acidic media). Thiourea deprotonation, producing its conjugated base, seems to be a crucial point, due to its greater nucleophilicity.

With similar conditions (shown in Scheme 3), we also

Scheme 3. Reaction between β-lapachone and thiourea, producing 2 (6,6-dimethyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-benzo[7,8]chromeno[6,5-d][1,3]oxazol-2-amine) and 3 (6,6-dimethyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-benzo[7,8]chromeno[5,6-d][1,3]oxazol-2-amine).
carried out the reaction with urea instead of thiourea, but the yields were much lower: 20% for isomer 2 and 4% for isomer 3. A factor that may have contributed to this decrease was the lower pKₐ value of thiourea (pKₐ = 21) compared to that of urea (pKₐ = 27). This indicates that the reaction with thiourea should not pass through the formation of urea or the exchange of sulfur for oxygen, in one of the steps. Therefore, the oxygen that makes up the oxazolic nucleus comes from carbonyl oxygen of β-lapachone and the sulfur should be eliminated after the formation of the oxazolic system.

Crystal structure of compound 2 and comparison with DFT calculations

Compound 2 crystallizes in the triclinic P1– space group and its asymmetric unit is shown in Figure 1. A summary of the crystal structure, data collection and refinement parameters are given in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2.

Table 1. Summary of the crystal structure, data collection and refinement parameters for 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>C₁₆H₁₆N₂O₂</th>
<th>Formula weight / (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>268.31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a / Å</td>
<td>5.8593(13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b / Å</td>
<td>10.810(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c / Å</td>
<td>11.415(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α / degree</td>
<td>108.432(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β / degree</td>
<td>90.466(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ / degree</td>
<td>98.891(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell volume / Å³</td>
<td>676.4(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature / K</td>
<td>298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of formula units per unit cell (Z)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation type</td>
<td>Mo Kα</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient (µ) / mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections measured</td>
<td>11067</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of independent reflections</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_w</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R[F² &gt; 2σ(F²)]</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR(F²)</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness of fit on F²</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole / (e Å⁻³)</td>
<td>0.46 and -0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC ID</td>
<td>2026764</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison between the experimental and theoretical values for the bond lengths, bond angles and torsion angles of the oxazolic ring in 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond length</th>
<th>Exp / Å</th>
<th>B3LYP / Å</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(3a)–O(3)</td>
<td>1.384(3)</td>
<td>1.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)–C(2)</td>
<td>1.368(3)</td>
<td>1.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)–C(2)</td>
<td>1.352(3)</td>
<td>1.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)–C(2)</td>
<td>1.369(3)</td>
<td>1.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)–C(11b)</td>
<td>1.408(2)</td>
<td>1.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11b)–C(3a)</td>
<td>1.364(3)</td>
<td>1.380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond angle</th>
<th>Exp / degree</th>
<th>B3LYP / degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(3a)–O(3)–N(2)</td>
<td>103.3(2)</td>
<td>103.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)–C(2)–N(2)</td>
<td>115.7(2)</td>
<td>115.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)–C(2)–N(1)</td>
<td>116.1(2)</td>
<td>116.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)–N(1)–C(11b)</td>
<td>103.2(2)</td>
<td>103.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)–C(11b)–C(3a)</td>
<td>109.5(2)</td>
<td>109.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11b)–C(3a)–O(3)</td>
<td>107.9(2)</td>
<td>107.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Torsion angle</th>
<th>Exp / degree</th>
<th>B3LYP / degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(3a)–O(3)–C(2)–N(2)</td>
<td>-179.2(2)</td>
<td>-176.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3a)–O(3)–C(2)–N(1)</td>
<td>-1.3(3)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)–C(2)–N(1)–C(11b)</td>
<td>1.2(3)</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)–N(1)–C(11b)–C(3a)</td>
<td>-0.6(3)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)–C(11b)–C(3a)–O(3)</td>
<td>-0.1(3)</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11b)–C(3a)–O(3)–C(2)</td>
<td>0.8(3)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(2)–C(2)–N(1)–C(11b)</td>
<td>178.8(2)</td>
<td>176.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exp: experimental; B3LYP: Becke-3-Lee Yang Parr; R²: correlation coefficient.
The asymmetric unit is comprised of one molecule of 6,6-dimethyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-benzo[7,8]chromeno[6,5-d][1,3]oxazol-2-amine (2). The crystal structure revealed that the condensation between the β-lapachone precursor and thiourea leads to the oxazole moiety in 2, as also seen by 1H NMR spectroscopy. Bond lengths for 2 are consistent with those previously reported6,28,29 for this class of compounds.

In order to go beyond in the structural study of this compound, the experimental parameters (bond lengths and angles) were compared to those simulated by DFT calculations, and the results are gathered in Table 2. The calculated values are in good agreement with the experimental ones, as can be seen through the correlation coefficients ($R^2$), which are greater than 0.9.

The crystal packing of 2 is stabilized by the hydrogen bond involving the amino group and oxazolic nitrogen atom, with the following geometrical parameters: $\text{N2} - \text{H2a} = 0.88(3)$ Å, $\text{H2a} \cdots \text{N1}^i = 2.09(3)$ Å, $\text{N2} \cdots \text{N1}^i = 2.970(3)$ Å and $\angle \text{N2} - \text{H2a} \cdots \text{N1}^i = 171(3)^\circ$, $i = -x - 1, -y, -z + 1$. This intermolecular hydrogen bond leads to dimers of 2, which interacts each other by π-π stacking between oxazole and naphthalenic rings, as depicted in Figure 2. The centroid-to-centroid distance is $3.48(2)$ Å.

Vibrational spectroscopy of compound 2

The experimental and theoretical vibrational spectra (IR and Raman) were compatible and compound 2 belongs to the C1 dot group. Although deviations above 100 cm$^{-1}$ were found in some bands in the theoretical spectra, the bands correlated to the vibrational modes showed the same patterns. These deviations can be attributed to the common interactions that occur in the solid state, in which the experimental spectra were performed, in contrast with the theoretical spectra, which were simulated in vacuum. Better correlations between the experimental and theoretical values were observed in the region from 1700 to 400 cm$^{-1}$ than in the region from 3500 to 3200 cm$^{-1}$, due to the stronger interactions (mainly hydrogen bonds) that occur in this last region.30,31 In the experimental FTIR spectrum (Figure S2, SI section), the band at 3419 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to N–H stretching and the shoulders at 3402 and 3381 cm$^{-1}$ should be associated with hydrogen bonds between the amino group of the molecules.32 In the theoretical IR spectrum (Figure S4, SI section, combined with the theoretical Raman spectrum) two bands were observed at 3672 and 3563 cm$^{-1}$.

The influence of the hydrogen bond can also be observed in the Raman spectra, because there are no bands in this region in the experimental spectrum (Figure S3, SI section), but they are present in the theoretical one. This interaction in the solid state, which is the case of the experimental spectrum, should cause partial hardness of the N–H bond, decreasing its tendency to polarization.

Since the C=C and C=N bonds of the chromene and oxazolic nuclei, respectively, are in the same plane, their stretches are coupled in an intense band at 1662 cm$^{-1}$, followed by two lower bands of C=C and C–N of the same nuclei, in the same order. In the theoretical spectrum these stretches appears as three bands at 1716, 1680 and 1620 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. In the experimental and theoretical Raman spectra, the coupled vibrational modes C=N/C=C and C=C were observed in the form of four bands: in the region from 1610 to 1531 cm$^{-1}$ in the

![Figure 2. Details of the crystal packing of compound 2. The hydrogen bonds and π-π stacking between oxazole and naphthalenic rings are represented as black and purple dotted lines, respectively. Purple spheres represent the centroids. Symmetry operations: $i = -1 - x, -y, 1 - z$ and $ii = 1 + x, y, z.$](image-url)
experimental spectrum, and in the region from 1716 to 1579 cm\(^{-1}\), in the theoretical spectrum.

**Nuclear magnetic resonance**

Table 3 shows the values of chemical shifts determined in the nuclear magnetic resonance of \(^1\)H (Figure S8, SI section) and \(^{13}\)C (distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPTQ), Figure S9, SI section) of compound 2. Homonuclear (homonuclear correlation spectroscopy (HOMOCOSY), Figure S10, SI section) and heteronuclear (heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC), Figure S11; heteronuclear multiple bond coherence (HMBC), Figure S12, SI section) were also used to assist in the structural elucidation of compounds 2 and 3. Good agreement was observed between the experimental and theoretical data (Figures S14 and S15, SI section) and \(^{13}\)C (distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPTQ), Figure S9, SI section) were also used to assist in the structural elucidation of compounds 2 and 3. Since only it can couple with both aromatic and aliphatic hydrogen atoms with detectable intensities measured by the HMBC spectrum of correlations. These couplings occurred between C(7a) and H\(_2\)(4) (\(\delta = 2.92\) ppm), and between C(7a) and H(8) (\(\delta = 8.08\) ppm, d). The identification of the doublet of H(8) and of the triplet of H\(_2\)(4) allowed the identification of all other aromatic and aliphatic hydrogens, respectively, through the analysis of the correlations in HOMOCOSY.

By assigning the chemical shifts in the \(^1\)H NMR spectra to each hydrogen, it was also possible to assign the chemical shifts of the carbons attached to them, through the correlations observed in the HSQC and confirmed by the HMBC. The singlet at 7.20 ppm was the only one that did not correlate with carbons in the HSQC, and its integration corresponded to two hydrogen atoms. In the HMBC, the only carbon which couple with this singlet was the quaternary carbon, which has the highest chemical shift. In the theoretical spectrum, this shift corresponded to C(2), which is consistent with the fact that it is the carbon connected with the highest number of heteroatoms. Thus, the singlet at 7.20 ppm in the \(^1\)H NMR was assigned to the hydrogen atoms of NH\(_2\)(2).

There were only six chemical shifts of quaternary carbons detected in the aromatic region, although the molecule has seven such carbons. However, there was a more intense signal at 123.5 ppm, which showed correlations with all the hydrogens in the aromatic portion, indicating that this signal corresponds to the C(7b) and C(11a) carbons, which also matches the theoretical spectrum.

**UV-Visible spectroscopy**

Three main bands were observed in the experimental UV-Vis absorption spectrum (Figure S16, SI section) of compound 2 in methanol, with maximums (and \(\varepsilon\) in M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) at 210 (39 064), 249 (35 386) and 330 (7 623) nm. The bands in the range of 200-280 nm were assigned to \(\pi-\pi^*\) transition of naphthalenic system and the band at 330 nm was assigned to n-\(\pi^*\) transition, due to the presence of lone electron pairs in the oxazole and furan rings, and obviously in the amino group. A shoulder around 224 nm on the band at 210 nm and other two shoulders around 316 and 326 nm on the band at 330 nm indicated that the molecule has approximately six main absorptions.

Although the shape of the simulated UV-Vis spectrum (Figure S17, SI section) has only two bands, the calculations also indicated the occurrence of six main absorptions: 210, 217, 225, 238, 294, and 316 nm. Since

---

**Table 3. Experimental and theoretical chemical shifts in \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra of compound 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C, N</th>
<th>(^1)H Exp / ppm</th>
<th>(^1)H B3LYP / ppm</th>
<th>(^{13})C Exp / ppm</th>
<th>(^{13})C B3LYP / ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>162.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>142.6</td>
<td>145.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>102.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.92 (t, (J = 6.62) Hz)</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.91 (t, (J = 6.62) Hz)</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a/5b</td>
<td>1.39 (s)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>147.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>122.9 (2C)</td>
<td>123.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.08 (d, (J = 8.51) Hz)</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>123.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.37 (t, (J = 7.57) Hz)</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>122.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.47 (t, (J = 7.25) Hz)</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>125.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>122.9 (2C)</td>
<td>125.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>132.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.01 (d, (J = 7.88) Hz)</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>123.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_2)(2)</td>
<td>7.20 (s)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(R^2\) = 0.998, 0.999

\(^a\)Not detected. Exp: experimental; B3LYP: Becke-3-Lee Yang Parr; R\(^2\): correlation coefficient; s: singlet; d: doublet; t: triplet.

We assumed that the highest chemical shifts observed in the DEPTQ correspond to aromatic carbons bonded to heteroatoms, C(2), C(3a), C(7a) and C(11b), which is in line with the observed values in the theoretical method. Thus, one of the key shifts is that of C(7a) (\(\delta = 143.4\) ppm),
the calculations were performed in a vacuum, we expected
that in the experimental spectrum the bands would have
absorption maxima at longer wavelengths due to the
effect of solvatochromism. The wavelengths, their band
gaps, oscillator strengths and main electronic transitions
calculated by DFT//B3LYP/6-31G* are listed in Table S1,
SI section. These transitions were determined based on
the contribution of each atomic orbital to the composition of
the molecular orbitals.

Naphthoxazoles are recognized to be luminescent,31 and
this is true for compound 2. Its irradiation at the maximum
wavelength of the three absorption bands in methanol
promotes the emission of light in the same region, 424 nm,
but the irradiation at 335 nm is the one that gives greater
intensity to the light emitted (Figure S18, SI section). Thus,
the electronic transition corresponding to this emission
must be of the type π*-n.

Conclusions

In this work, the condensation between β-lapachone and
thiourea in a basic medium is shown to be an efficient method
to obtain two new isomeric 2-amino-naphthoxazoles, with
good yield for one of them. New studies with a larger
amount of quinones and diketones should be performed
to establish this method as a general method to obtain
2-amino-oxazoles, but until the present work there were no
methods for the synthesis of this class of compounds by the
condensation of an α-dicarbonyl compound and thiourea.
Furthermore, the use of computational DFT studies with
the B3LYP method supported the characterization of the
main product 2.

Supplementary Information

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors)
for the structures in this work were deposited in the
Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary
publication number CCDC 2026764. Copies of the data
can be obtained, free of charge, via https://www.ccdc.cam.
ac.uk/structures/.

Supplementary information (FTIR, Raman, HRMS,
and NMR spectra) is available free of charge at
http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.
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