Evaluation of the Seasonality and Extraction Method on the Polar Extracts of Croton grewioides Baill. by Chromatogram Fingerprinting and Isolation of a New Triglycosylated Flavonoid
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Croton grewioides Baill. popularly known as “canelinha” or “canelinha-de-cheiro” has been used for the treatment of influenza, antitussive, febrifuge and headache; however, the study of its phytochemical composition is limited. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of the extraction method and seasonality through leaf extracts of four accessions of Croton grewioides by fingerprint chromatograms aided by principal component analysis to analyze the differences and similarities among the samples. We aimed also to provide chemical characterization of isolated secondary metabolites using semi-preparative liquid chromatography. The results showed that only the chemical profile of the methanolic extracts of accessions 101 and 113 were influenced by the seasonality. For the first time, four flavonoids were isolated through semi-preparative chromatography in this species, characterized as quercetin 3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→2)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside-(1→6)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (1), quercetin 3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→2)-α-apiopyranoside-(1→6)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (2), quercetin 3-O-glucopyranoside (3) and 3-O-methyl-quercetin (4), the flavonoid (2) has been recognized as a new triglycosylated derivative.
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Introduction

The genus Croton L. is the most studied of family Euphorbiaceae, which belongs to the Crotonoideae subfamily consisting of about 1300 species, and it is of great importance in the Brazilian flora, with about 300 species. Croton grewioides Baill. is found in all states of the Brazilian Northeast region, occurring exclusively in the semi-arid region, regularly in sandy soils. Popularly it is known as “canelinha”, “caatinga de cheiro” or “canelinha-de-cheiro” due the aroma exhaled from its leaves. An ethnobotanical survey in northeast of Brazil showed that tea made from the leaves and branches of this species have been used for the treatment of influenza, antitussive, febrifuge and headache.1-5

To the best of our knowledge, few phytochemical studies reporting biological activities of essential oils and extracts from this species have been published in the literature.3,6-10 Only one report, a PhD thesis,11 was found during the bibliographic search which describes
the phytochemical study of this species showing the isolation of nine diterpenes, three phenolic, and one steroid. Previous phytochemical studies on Croton species showed the presence of monoterpeneoids, diterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids, triterpenoids, steroids, alkaloids and phenolic derivatives, mainly flavonoids, lignans and proanthocyanidins.

Considering the fact that the chemical composition of the plant is affected by, among other factors, the change of season, place of origin and genetic variability of the accessions which may affect its pharmacological activities, this work investigated the effect of these factors, as well as the method of extraction of the leaf extracts, on their fingerprint chromatograms, by high performance liquid chromatography-diode array detector (HPLC-DAD), of four accessions from C. grewioides. A fingerprint chromatogram is considered a chromatographic pattern where it is possible to observe similarities or differences among plant samples, describing their chemical complexities and assisting in their characterizations, especially in research related to the medicinal use of plants. To verify which of these factors is more important in discriminating samples, chemometric analysis was carried out with the obtained fingerprint chromatograms.

Moreover, semi-preparative chromatography was used to isolate quercetin 3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→2)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside-(1→6)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (1), quercetin 3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→2)-α-apiopyranoside-(1→6)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (2), a new flavonoid triglycosides, quercetin 3-O-glucopyranoside (3) and 3-O-methyl-quercetin (4) (Figure 1). The four flavonoid derivatives were herein for the first time obtained from C. grewioides. Their chemical structural identification was carried out by spectroscopic methods, including 1D and 2D nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS).

**Experimental**

**Instrumentation**

The fingerprint chromatograms were obtained using a Shimadzu liquid chromatograph (Kyoto, Japan) Prominence model, equipped with two high pressure pumps LC-20AT, a column oven CTO-20A, a vacuum degasser DGU-20A3 model, SIL-20AHT autosampler, and an SPD-M20A diode array detector (DAD) system.

![Chemical constituents from C. grewioides](Figure 1)
coupled with a CBM-20A interface. Data collection was performed using LC Solution software. An analytical Kinetex C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm internal diameter (i.d.); 5 μm particle diameter, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) was used.

The isolation of the flavonoids was carried out using a semi-preparative HPLC-UV, Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan), Prominance model, equipped with two high pressure pumps LC-6AD, a vacuum degasser DGU-20A3R, FRC-10A fraction collector, SIL-20A autosampler, SPD-20A UV-Vis spectrophotometer detector system coupled with a CBM-20A interface and a column oven CTO-20A model. A semi-preparative hexyl-phenyl Luna® column (250 × 10 mm i.d.; 10 μm particle diameter, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) was used.

The 1D (1H and 13C) and 2D NMR (homonuclear correlation (COSY), heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC), heteronuclear multiple bond coherence (HMBC)) spectra of the isolated compounds were acquired at 299 K with the use of a Bruker Avance III instrument (14.1 Tesla, Ultrashield Plus®, Karlsruhe, Germany) fitted with an automatic sample changer and a triple resonance cryoprobe of 5 mm (1H/13C/15N) with z-field gradient. Data collection and processing were carried out using the Bruker software Topspin 3.2 version. The spectra were referenced to tetramethylsilane (TMS) at 0.0 ppm and the chemical shifts of all hydrogens and carbons were reported using δ values.

The ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC-HRMS) analysis was carried out on an Agilent 1290 Infinity II LC system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) coupled with a high-resolution mass spectrometer containing a quadrupole time-of-flight Impact HD QTOF™ mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) equipped with an electrospray ion source (ESI). The optimized chromatography conditions were detailed as follows: Hypersil GOLD C8 Javelin™ guard column (10 × 2.1 mm, 5 μm particle diameter, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), with the temperature set at 40 °C, mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid in water) and B (acetonitrile) were used at a flow-rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹, with gradient elution of 0-5 min, 10-100% B. The injection volume was 5 μL. The Impact HDQTOF™ mass spectrometer parameters were set as follows: negative ion mode, capillary voltage, 2500 V; end plate offset, ~500 V; nebulizer, 4.0 bar; dry heater temperature, 180 °C; dry gas flow, 9 L min⁻¹, collision cell energy, 5 eV; transfer time, 70 μs, pre pulse time, 5 μs, and full-MS scan range, m/z 100-1000. In addition, external mass spectrometer calibration was carried out with sodium formate cluster (1 mmol L⁻¹ sodium formate in water/acetonitrile, 1/1 (v/v)) in quadratic high-precision calibration (HPC) regression mode. The calibration solution was injected at the end of the analytical run and all the spectra were calibrated. The data analysis was processed by using Bruker Compass DataAnalysis 4.2 software (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany), and Bruker Smart Formula provided a list of possible elemental formulas. The confirmation of elemental compositions was established with less than 10 ppm mass accuracy.

Reagents and plant materials

For sample preparation and HPLC analyses, HPLC-grade solvents such as acetonitrile (Tedia, Fairfield, OH, USA), methanol (JT Baker, Philipsburg, PA, USA) and ethanol (PanReac, Barcelona, Spain) and analytical grade formic acid 88% (v/v) (JT Baker, Philipsburg, PA, USA) were used. Deionized water was purified by a Milli-Q® system (Millipore, São Paulo, SP, Brazil).

The leaves of the four accessions of Croton grewioides Baill. were collected at the Germplasm Active Bank of the Federal University of Sergipe, located at the Experimental Farm “Campus Rural da UFS” in São Cristóvão, Sergipe state, Brazil, in June 2016 (winter) and January 2017 (summer). Voucher specimens were identified and deposited at the herbarium of the Federal University of Sergipe, Department of Biology, under numbers 28256, 25138, 28251, 23259, referring to accessions 101, 107, 113 and 126, respectively. All the accessions originated from Sergipe state; accession 101 (10º55′17.8” S; 37º06′04.1” W) from Poço Verde municipality while 107 (09º58′06.5” S; 37º51′48.4” W), 113 (09º58′06.9” S; 37º51′49.1” W) and 126 (09º57′55.6” S; 37º51′49.8” W) from Poço Redondo municipality. The material was dried in a forced air circulation oven at 50 °C for 3 days.

Preparation of the crude extracts

Hydroalcoholic extracts (HW-hydroalcoholic winter and HS-hydroalcoholic summer) were obtained from 2 g of leaf by maceration using 100 mL 50% v/v ethanol (HPLC grade) for 24 h at ambient temperature. Thereafter, a simple filtration was performed, leaving the extract in rest until complete evaporation of the solvents under a gentle stream of air. The methanolic extracts (MW-methanolic winter and MS-methanolic summer) were prepared by maceration. To that, 2 g of leaves were placed in Erlenmeyer flask with 100 mL of methanol (HPLC grade) and left at rest for 24 h. Then, the solutions were filtered, and the solvent removed by using a rotary evaporator at 50 °C. The aqueous extract (DW-decoction winter; DS-decoction summer)
was obtained by the decoction method by adding 2 g of leaves in a beaker containing 200 mL of boiling ultrapure water under a heating plate and leaving it to boil for 90 s. After this time, the beaker was removed from the heating plate and allowed to stand for 10 min and then filtered. The remaining solution was frozen lyophilized under the following conditions: temperature of \(-54\, ^\circ\text{C}\) and pressure below 90 μHg. In total, 24 extracts were obtained from the two collections (winter and summer).

**Solid phase extraction procedures**

Solid-phase extraction (C\textsubscript{18} cartridge, JT Baker, 100 mg, 40 μm APD, 60 Å) was carried out to all samples prior to the HPLC analyses. Thus, an aliquot of 10 mg of hydroalcoholic, aqueous and methanolic extracts were dissolved, separately, in 1 mL of 60% v/v methanol in water, in 40% v/v methanol in water and 100% methanol, respectively. All solutions were vortex mixed for a few seconds before centrifuging them at 11,866 g force for 5 min. Initially, the cartridge was conditioned with 1 mL of methanol, followed by 1 mL of water. Subsequently, a volume of 50 μL of the centrifuged solutions (10 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) was eluted with 1 mL of a mixture 50% v/v methanol in water followed by 1 mL of methanol.

**HPLC analysis**

An aliquot of 25 μL of the clean-up extracts was injected at HPLC-DAD system. This procedure was carried out in quadruplicate for each sample. Chromatographic analyses were performed on the analytical C\textsubscript{18} column following the conditions: mobile phase consisting of 0.5% aqueous formic acid (v/v, A) and acetonitrile (B). The gradient elution was: 5-15% (B) in 8 min, 15-19% (B) in 15 min, 19-24% (B) in 10 min, 24% isocratic (B) for 10 min, 24-100% (B) in 15 min, 60-100% (B) in 5 min and maintained at 100% for 10 min (clean-up) before returning to the initial conditions in 15 min and then conditioning for a further 25 min, with 300 μL injection volume and solvent flow rate 4 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}. The elution was monitored based on UV absorption at 320 nm, the column temperature was maintained at 25 °C and thirty-five chromatographic runs were performed.

**Results and Discussion**

All accessions of *Croton grewioides* Baill. used in this work belong to the Active Germplasm Bank (AGB) of the Federal University of Sergipe, where one of the objectives is the creation and maintenance of genetic diversity.\textsuperscript{21} Considering that in the northeastern region of Brazil the four seasons of the year are not well defined, it was decided to investigate only samples collected during the winter and summer season, since they present a more significant temperature variation (during the winter the temperature ranges from 18 to 26 °C and in the summer from 21 to 32 °C) and rainfall index [June 2016 (winter)-total: 265 mm, mean value: 8.8 mm; January 2017 (summer)-total: 18.26 mm, mean value: 0.59 mm].\textsuperscript{22}

**Chromatographic conditions and optimization procedures**

In order to achieve the best chromatographic profiles, different chromatographic conditions were investigated, such as organic modifier, stationary phases (C\textsubscript{18} and hexylphenyl), and column temperatures.

The highest number of characteristic bands detectable was achieved at 320 nm with gradient elution using acetonitrile as organic modifier. The use of 0.5% v/v formic acid aqueous solution as the solvent B was important for the chromatographic peak shape, since the use of a slightly acidic solution in the mobile phase restricts the ionization of some compounds commonly found in *Croton* species.\textsuperscript{23-27} The C\textsubscript{18} stationary phase, maintained at a temperature of 25 °C and the DAD selected at a wavelength of 320 nm allowed the observation of a greater number of bands with good

Isolation by semi-preparative HPLC-UV

For the chromatographic separations, solutions containing 60 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1} of the decoction extract from 107 accession (summer, 107DS) were prepared in methanol and ultrapure water (4:6 v/v); subsequently, it was centrifuged prior to the HPLC analyses. The binary gradient elution system consisted of an aqueous solution of formic acid 0.5% (v/v) (A) and acetonitrile (B), and separation was achieved using the following gradient: 5-28% (B) in 15 min, 28-37% (B) in 10 min, 37-60% (B) in 15 min, 60-100% (B) in 5 min and maintained at 100% for 10 min (clean-up) before returning to the initial conditions in 15 min and then conditioning for a further 25 min, with 300 μL injection volume and solvent flow rate 4 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}. The elution was monitored based on UV absorption at 320 nm, the column temperature was maintained at 25 °C and thirty-five chromatographic runs were performed.
resolution. Figure 2 illustrates the chromatograms obtained for the evaluated samples considering the extraction methods, the accessions and the season of collection.

The chromatograms represented in Figure 2 distinguish the methanolic extracts from the others in that it shows eluting peak after 40 min. It is worth noticing that the peaks at 55.7; 56.0 and 56.3 min are present only in accession 101. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to better explain these results.

Principal component analysis (PCA)

PCA is a multivariate statistical analysis that aims to reduce the dimensionality of the original data set showing the correlation among several variables in terms of a smaller number of underlying factors called principal components (PCs) without losing much information. This method allows the exploration of data through the combined analysis of the scores and loadings graphs of samples, without prior

Figure 2. HPLC-DAD fingerprint chromatograms at 320 nm of the extracts from four accessions of *C. grewioides*, prepared by different methods and collected at different seasons.
knowledge of their classification or to which specific group they belong. 28,29

After pretreatment and preprocessing steps, the chromatographic data were organized into a matrix containing 96 lines (samples) and 543 columns (variables: retention time, 58 min) being submitted to the principal component analysis, obtaining the scores chart PC1 versus PC2 (Figure 3). The first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) describe 38.7% of the total data variance (PC1 = 24.0% and PC2 = 14.7%), being used to classify and discriminate the samples.

On the basis of the first two principal components (PCs), the following was observed: the formation of two large distinct groups (G1 and G2), considering only the extraction method, being one group formed by the methanolic samples in PC1 positive (G2) and the other by the decoction and hydroalcoholic samples in PC1 negative (G1) indicating that the chemical profiles of methanolic extracts are significantly different to the other two. This data corroborates the differences observed in the chromatograms depicted at Figure 2.

Within these two groups, the formation of five other groups (G3 to G7) was observed. Samples 101HS, 101HW, 101DS, and 101DW formed the G3 group, presenting PC1 negative and PC2 positive, while all decoction and hydroalcoholic samples from other accessions formed the G4 group, which is characterized by negative PC1 and PC2 values, except for the 107HS sample that tends to values closed to zero in PC2.

The G5 group is formed only by the 101MW samples, while the G6 group by the 101MS and 113MW samples with PC1 and PC2 positive values. Samples 107MW, 107MS, 113MS, 126MS and 126MW form the G7 group with positive values in PC1 and negative in PC2. It is interesting to observe the significant separation of all the samples of accession 101 in relation to the samples of the other accessions, except the 101MS that resembles 113MW (G6), which may be related to the geographical origin of this accession, since it is the only one that comes from the municipality of Poço Verde, suggesting that it may be a genetic variety or an one with better environmental adaptability. However, it is important to emphasize that studies with a greater number of accessions, mainly for the municipality of Poço Verde, are necessary to verify whether the genetic variation observed among the accessions is related to their geographical origin.

Through a visual analysis of Figure 4, it is possible to perceive similarities and differences between the chromatograms of the methanolic extracts from accessions 101 and 113, suggesting that the seasonality effect can be observed through the compounds present in these extracts. However, only through the graph in Figure 3 this effect becomes clearly evident, because they are not clustered in the scores graph (Supplementary Information (SI) section, Figure S1). On the other hand, there was no significant variation of the compounds present in the hydroalcoholic and decoction extracts between the two seasons. These chromatograms are provided as SI (Figures S2 and S3).

Regarding the differences among accessions, it can be assumed that accessions 107 and 126 must be genetically very close, because all their extracts tended to cluster, the hydroalcoholic and decoction in G4, and the methanolic in G7. The results obtained in this work for these accessions have been confirmed through studies of their essential oils and genetic variability (unpublished data).
In order to identify which variables contribute to the observed differentiation among the samples, the loadings graph (Figure 5) were analyzed.\(^{30-32}\)

Thus, the chromatographic bands with retention times 44.8; 45.6; 52.6; 56.0 and 56.3 min characterized the methanolic extract samples, while those with retention times 8.8; 9.6; 11.0; 14.8; 15.9; 17.2; 18.7 and 20.3 min characterized the hydroalcoholic and decoction samples. The differences observed in the chromatograms were clearly shown in the chemometric data (Figure 3). The projection of samples from accession 101 (hydroalcoholic, decoction and methanolic-summer and winter), suggest that this is a specific accession because it separates itself from others samples originated from the same extraction and season of collection, presenting characteristic bands with retention time 8.8; 9.6; 11.0 and 20.3 min for 101HS, 101HW, 101DS, and 101DW; and 55.7, 56.0 and 56.3 min for 101MS and 101MW.

Semi-preparative isolation

The Figure 6 illustrates the semi-preparative chromatogram used to isolate the flavonoids yielding, after thirty-five chromatographic runs, 5.6 mg compound 1 (\(t_R\)-LC: 17.60 min, \(\lambda_{max}\): 255, 354 nm), 9.9 mg compound 2 (\(t_R\)-LC: 18.06 min, \(\lambda_{max}\) 255, 353 nm), 8.8 mg compound 3 (\(t_R\)-LC: 20.91 min, \(\lambda_{max}\): 255, 354 nm); and 1.0 mg compound 4 (\(t_R\)-LC: 31.84 min, \(\lambda_{max}\): 255, 356 nm), which were directly used for UHPLC-HRMS and NMR experiments. The UV spectra of each compound were obtained with the aid of the diode array detector and the data compared with literature.\(^{33,34}\)

Structural identification of flavonoids

The structure identification of four flavonoids was based on 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy. The molecular formula for compound 1 was established as \(C_{33}H_{40}O_{20}\) based on HRMS data [M – H\(^+\)] at 755.2010. In the \(^1\)H NMR (600 MHz, MeOH-\(d_4\)) spectrum, five aromatic protons at \(\delta_H\) 6.17 (d, 1H, \(J_{2.1}\) Hz, H-6), 6.37 (d, 1H, \(J_{2.1}\) Hz, H-8), 7.68 (d, 1H, \(J_{2.2}\) Hz, H-2'), 6.87 (d, 1H, \(J_{8.5}\) Hz, H-5') and 7.56 (dd, 1H, \(J_{8.5}, 2.2\) Hz, H-6') defined a quercetin aglycon. Three doublets at \(\delta_H\) 5.65 (1H, \(J_{7.8}\) Hz), 5.20 (1H, \(J_{1.5}\) Hz) and 4.54 (1H, \(J_{1.6}\) Hz) indicated the presence of three anomeric protons, which correlated to carbons at \(\delta_C\) 101.0 (C-1" Gal ), 102.6 (C-1''' Rha ) and 101.9 (C-1"" Rha ), respectively, in the HSQC spectra. In addition, the presence of two doublets for two methyl group at \(\delta_H\) 1.17 (3H, \(J_{6.2}\) Hz; \(\delta_C\) 17.9) and 0.95 (3H, \(J_{6.3}\) Hz; \(\delta_C\) 17.4) confirmed the presence of a \(\beta\)-galactose unit and two \(\alpha\)-rhamnose units. The sequence of the trisaccharide residue was determined from HMBC spectra which displayed important correlations between hydrogens and carbons, mainly of H-1" (\(\delta_H\) 5.20) and C-2" (\(\delta_C\) 77.5) denoting a 1\(\rightarrow\)2 linkage between one of the rhamnose units and the galactose unit. Correlations between C-6" (\(\delta_C\) 67.0) of the galactose and the anomeric proton of H-1''' (\(\delta_H\) 4.54) of the another rhamnose residue indicated a 1\(\rightarrow\)6 linkage between them. In addition, the correlation between anomeric proton of H-1" (\(\delta_H\) 5.65) of the galactose and C-3 (\(\delta_C\) 134.6) of the quercetin identified the linkage between galactose moiety and the aglycone at C-3. Therefore, compound 1 was unambiguously determined as quercetin 3-\(\beta\)-D-galactopyranosyl-(1\(\rightarrow\)2)-\(\alpha\)-L-rhamnopyranoside-(1\(\rightarrow\)6)-\(\alpha\)-L-rhamnopyranoside (Figure 1).\(^{35}\)

The molecular formula for compound 2 was established as \(C_{32}H_{38}O_{20}\) based on HRMS data [M – H\(^+\)] at 741.1936, 14 units less mass than had the compound 1. Furthermore, the \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR spectroscopic data for compound 2 were similar to those of compound 1, except for the data...

attributed to apiose unit: $\delta_h$ 5.45 (d, J 1.4 Hz, H-1‴), 4.05 (sl, H-2‴), doublets at 4.04 and 3.69 (J 9.5 Hz, H-4‴) and doublets at 3.73 and 3.63 (J 11.5 Hz, H-5‴), which were correlated to carbons at $\delta_c$ 110.7 (C-1‴′), 78.1 (C-2‴′), 75.5 (C-4‴′) and 66.2 (C-5‴′), respectively, using HSQC spectra. The observation of one non-hydrogen bonded carbon at $\delta_c$ 80.8 (C-3‴′) was compatible with the presence of this sugar unit. Three doublets integrating to one hydrogen each at $\delta_h$ 5.45 (J 1.4 Hz), 5.41 (J 7.8 Hz) and 4.51 (J 1.5 Hz) indicated the presence of three anomeric protons, which correlated to carbons at $\delta_c$ 110.7 (C-1‴‴ Api ), 101.5 (C-1‴″ Gal ) and 101.8 (C-1‴‴‴ Rha ), respectively, in the HSQC spectra. The linkage among the three sugar units was established from the HMBC correlation between the signals of the anomeric protons of the apiose at $\delta_h$ 4.51 and of the rhamnose at $\delta_h$ 4.51 with the carbon signals of the galactose at $\delta_c$ 76.7 (C-2‴) and 67.0 (C-6‴), respectively (1D and 2D NMR spectra are shown in SI section, Figures S4-S8). Thus, compound 2 was determined as quercetin 3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→2)-α-apiopyranoside-(1→6)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (Figure 1).

The NMR spectra data of compounds 3 and 4 were similar to those of compounds 1 and 2, showing that the aglycone moiety is the same among them. However, compound 3 showed typical signals of a single sugar residue at the C-3 (glucose, doublet at $\delta_h$ 5.15, J 7.8 Hz), while compound 4 has a methoxyl group (singlet at $\delta_h$ 3.77) at this position, which were confirmed from the HMBC correlations.

Thus, these two known flavonoids were identified by direct comparison of their spectra data with those previously reported for quercetin 3-O-glucopyranoside (3)\textsuperscript{35,36,37} and 3-O-methyl-quercetin (4)\textsuperscript{38-40} (Figure 1). The molecular formulas were established as C$_{23}$H$_{24}$O$_{12}$ for compound 3 and C$_{23}$H$_{24}$O$_{12}$ for compound 4 based on HRMS data [M – H]$^-$ at 463.0836 and at 315.0487, consistent with the proposed structures. The detailed information of NMR data is shown in SI section (Tables S1 and S2).

To the best of our knowledge, this study represents the first report of the isolation of compound 2 as a natural product. The others are already reported in the literature, compound 1 has been isolated from *Bougainvillea glabra*\textsuperscript{45} and compound 3 from *Croton pedicellatus*\textsuperscript{23} and compound 4 from *Croton echioides*, *Croton schiedeanus* and *Croton zehntneri*.\textsuperscript{41-43}

Conclusions

A method to obtain the fingerprint chromatograms of three extracts of leaves from four accessions of *C. grewioides* Baill., collected in summer and winter, was developed by using HPLC-DAD. The influence of the seasonality and the extraction method on the chemical profile of this species could be evaluated after analyzing the chromatographic data using chemometric tools. The compounds present in the methanol extracts of accessions 101 and 113 were quantitatively different between the two seasons, showing the seasonality effect. On the other hand, in relation to the extraction method, the chemical profiles of the hydroalcoholic extracts and decoction of all the accessions were similar, which differed from their respective methanolic extracts. It is also suggested that the accession 101 is a specific genetic variety based on its chemical profile and confirmed through its projection in the scores plot.

A semi-preparative method was developed allowing the isolation and subsequent characterization of four flavonoid derivatives including a new triglycosylated derivative, identified as quercetin 3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→2)-α-apiopyranoside-(1→6)-α-L-rhamnopyranoside.

Finally, based on the scores and loadings graphs it is possible to acknowledge that the compounds 1, 2 and 3 differentiate hydroalcoholic and decoction extracts from methanolic extracts, while compound 3 is the only one that characterizes the hydroalcoholic and decoction extracts (winter and summer) from accession 101, because of all the identified compounds in the chromatogram compound 3 presented negative values for PC1 and positive values for PC2 in the loadings plot.

Knowing the numerous pharmacological activities presented by flavonoids, our results support future research where there is an interest in the medicinal use of this plant, suggesting that the season of collection and the method of extraction of the chemical constituents from this species should be taken into account.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary data is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.

Acknowledgments

This research work was financially supported by the CNPq, CAPES, and FAPESP (PROEM 2014/50299-5, research grant 2013/01710-1 and by the PD-grant 2014/11640-3). The authors thank the Brazilian funding agencies CNPq and CAPES for their financial support. A.F.B., E.R.P.F., Q.B.C., A.G.F. and P.C.L.N. are grateful to CNPq for research fellowships.
Author Contributions

Vilma M. J. Prado was responsible for formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration and writing-original draft; Raphael A. de Jesus for the formal analysis, methodology, project administration, supervision and writing-original draft; Julio M. A. Oliveira for the formal analysis, investigation and methodology; Camila S. A. Pereira for the conceptualization, investigation and methodology; Arie F. Blank for the conceptualization, investigation, methodology and supervision; Quezia B. Cass for the formal analysis, methodology, investigation, methodology and project administration; Valéria R. S. Moraes for the project administration, supervision, visualization and writing-original draft.

References

2. Lucena, M. F. A.; Sales, M. F.; Rodriguesia 2006, 57, 11.
18. Pirouette, v.4.0; Infometrix, Woodinville, WA, USA, 2014.

Submitted: March 22, 2020
Published online: September 18, 2020