

**Floral Scent and Nectar Sugar Composition of *Temnadenia odorifera*  
(Apocynoideae, Apocynaceae)**

**Rafael F. Silva,** <sup>a,b</sup> **Natália A. B. Tinoco,**<sup>a</sup> **Anna Tsukui,**<sup>a</sup> **Cristiana Koschnitzke,**<sup>c</sup>  
**Inara C. Silva-Batista,**<sup>c</sup> **Claudia M. Rezende**<sup>a</sup> and **Humberto R. Bizzo** <sup>\*,b,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Laboratório de Análise de Aromas, Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 21941-909 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil*

<sup>b</sup>*Instituto de Pesquisas de Produtos Naturais, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 21941-902 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil*

<sup>c</sup>*Departamento de Botânica, Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 20940-040 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil*


<sup>d</sup>*Embrapa Agroindústria de Alimentos, Av. das Américas, 29501, 23020-470 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil*

**Table S1.** Validation parameters for sucrose, glucose and fructose


Parameter	Sucrose	Glucose	Fructose
Linearity			
Concentration levels / (mg mL <sup>-1</sup> )	1, 5, 10, 20, 40	1, 5, 10, 15, 20	1, 5, 10, 15, 20
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.9996	0.9975	0.9975
Cochran's test	homoscedasticity C <sub>cal</sub> = 0.55 C <sub>tab</sub> = 0.68	homoscedasticity C <sub>cal</sub> = 0.52 C <sub>tab</sub> = 0.68	homoscedasticity C <sub>cal</sub> = 0.61 C <sub>tab</sub> = 0.68
Matrix effect	present t <sub>cal</sub> = 2.71 t <sub>tab</sub> = 2.05	absent t <sub>cal</sub> = 1.30 t <sub>tab</sub> = 2.05	absent t <sub>cal</sub> = 1.30 t <sub>tab</sub> = 2.05
LOQ / (ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )	1.0	0.6	0.6
LOD / (ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )	0.3	0.2	0.2
Accuracy			
Mean recovery / %	81.99	89.91	86.3
Precision			
Repeatability / %	0.07-1.09	0.03-0.805	0.05-0.873

C<sub>cal</sub>: Calculated value for the Cochran test (the ratio between the highest value of variance and the sum of all variances); C<sub>tab</sub>: the respective tabulated (reference) C value; t<sub>cal</sub>: calculated value for the Cochran test on similarity of the standard deviations; t<sub>tab</sub>: tabulated (reference) value for the corresponding Cochran t test; LOQ: limit of quantification; LOD: limit of detection.


\*e-mail: humberto.bizzo@embrapa.br




**Figure S1.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 1 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 2. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S2.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 2 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 2. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S3.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 3 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 2. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S4.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 4 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 2. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S5.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 5 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 2. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S6.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 1 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 1. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S7.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 2 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 1. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S8.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 3 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 1. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S9.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 4 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 1. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S10.** Chromatogram of nectar sample 5 from *Temnadenia odorifera* flower. Nectar of flower from site 1. (1) Sucrose, (2) glucose and (3) fructose.




**Figure S11.** Total ion chromatogram (TIC) of flower volatiles of the *Temnadenia odorifera*. TIC of the flower 1 sample, trapped on site 2 between 9 to 12 h. The numbering refers to Table 1.




**Figure S12.** TIC of flower volatiles of the *Temnadenia odorifera*. TIC of the flower 2 sample, trapped on site 2 between 9 to 12 h. The numbering refers to Table 1.




**Figure S13.** TIC of flower volatiles of the *Temnadenia odorifera*. TIC of the flower 3 sample, trapped on site 2 between 9 to 12 h. The numbering refers to Table 1.



**Figure S14.** Comparison between different emission profiles of floral volatiles collected at different times. Volatiles trapped on February 3 (2017), on site 2. The numbering refers to Table 1.




**Figure S15.** Comparison between different emission profiles of floral volatiles collected at different times. Volatiles trapped on February 10 (2017), on site 2. The numbering refers to Table 1.



**Figure S16.** Schematic design of the equipment used to capture the floral odor.



**Figure S17.** Capture of floral scent from *T. odorifera* at the site 2 (photo by Rafael F. Silva)..



**Figure S18.** Insects visiting *T. odorifera* flowers. (a) *Carystus phorcus* (Cramer, 1777); (b) *Cymaenes tripunctus theogenis* (Capronnier, 1874); (c) *Euglossa* sp.; (d) *Eulaema nigrata* (Lepeletier, 1841); (e) *Eulaema cingulata* (Fabricius, 1804). The photo (e) was taken by Rafael F. Silva, while the other photos were taken by Cristiana Koschnitzke.